

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/801,969	03/16/2004	George Nerubenko	SMB-7038 2364		
26294	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	I, SUNDHEIM, COVE	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T			
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, and the second		3683		
		DATE MAIL ED: 06/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/801,969	NERUBENKO, GEORGE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lan Nguyen	3683		

	Lan Nguyen	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
	but prior to the data of filing a br	iof will not be entered b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. $oxtimes$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 11-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-10 and 22-27.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affic	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> lavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t door NOT place the application	a ia aaaditiaa faa allaa	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ol><li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li></ol>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
13.		Lan Nguyen	n 6/5/06
•		Art Unit: 3683	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/801,969** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant failed to indicate which is the amended portion to paragraph [0053] of the specification. It appears that the amended portion is the last sentence in paragraph [0053] submitted 5/24/06. This amended portion raises new issues that need further consideration. It is believed that it also raises the issue of new matter.